Radical-Induced Cyclopentannulation of Henry (Nitroaldol)-Derived Intermediates¹

Frederick A. Luzzio,* Joel P. Ott, and Damien Y. Duveau Department of Chemistry, University of Louisville, 2320 South Brook Street, Louisville, Kentucky 40292 faluzz01@gwise.louisville.edu Received March 13, 2006



Tributyltin hydride-mediated cyclizations of 1-nitro-2-acetoxy-5-hexenes 7a-g having multiple substitutions on carbons 1 and 6 result in 2,3-substituted-1-acetoxycyclopentanes 1a-g. The substrates were prepared by nitroaldol reactions of silvloxyaldehydes followed by acetylation, desilylation, and oxidation to the acetoxynitroaldehydes 6a-e. Wittig olefination of aldehydes 6a - e then afforded substrates for the radical cyclizations. The overall scheme gave a diverse array of cyclopentanes, including gem-disubstituted cyclopentanes having substitution on three contiguous carbons.

The versatility of the Henry reaction in joining sensitive molecular fragments together is multifold as exemplified by the concomitant creation of a new multiply reactive center, the β -nitroalkanol group.^{2a-e} In turn, the nitroalkanol group may then serve as a convenient starting point for further conversion to a number of diverse functional groups. The most frequently employed nitro alcohol conversions include in situ dehydration to the corresponding nitroolefin, reduction to the corresponding amino alcohol, or oxidation to the corresponding nitro ketone. In addition, the complete replacement or removal of the nitro group by the many variants of the Nef reaction has been utilized extensively in organic synthesis.³ The less commonly employed transformations include removal of the nitro group by radical reduction and includes replacement with hydrogen (radical denitrohydrogenation)^{4a,b} or concomitant inter- or intramolecular carbon-carbon bond formation depending on suitably disposed unsaturation (Scheme 1).^{4c-f} The radical 5-hexenyl cyclizations have been reported in a few isolated cases and have included both the formation of tetrahydrofurans from allyl or propargyl ethers bearing nitro substituents^{4a,d,e} and the preparation of a tricyclic cedrene intermediate through a radical cascade cyclization of a homoallylic β -nitro alcohol.^{5a,b} To demonstrate substrate generality, we evaluated β -nitroalkanols or Henry-type intermediates as substrates for radical cyclization to highly substituted cyclopentanes. The cyclopentane ring system constitutes the structural core of numerous natural products and biologically active compounds; therefore, new and general approaches to diverse cyclopentanoids continue to prove valu-









^a Reagents and conditions: (a) N,N,N',N'-tetramethylguanidine/THF/rt; (b) $Ac_2O/pyr/rt;$ (c) TFA/H₂OCH₂Cl₂/rt; (d) PCC/SiO₂/CH₂Cl₂; (e) R,RC=PPh₃; (f) HSnBu₃/AIBN/toluene/110 °C.

able in total synthesis.⁶ Our approach to five-membered rings employs a radical cyclization of substrates which possess both a nitro group and a suitably disposed olefinic unit (Scheme 2). The nitro group, which is susceptible to radical replacement, is introduced through a Henry reaction giving a nitro alcohol, while the olefinic unit is introduced at a later stage through a Wittig reaction. Generation of the double bond at the later stage, or after the Henry reaction, is preferable since many nitroaldols on intermediate aldehydes having conjugated olefinic units will suffer competing nitronate Michael addition. Although the individual reactions are commonplace, the overall scheme represents a novel sequence utilizing Henry intermediates and thereby tests the tolerances of the derivatized nitro alcohol function.

The scheme commences with the 4-tert-butylsilyloxy (TB-DMS) aldehydes 2a-c (Figure 1). The aldehydes are then

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel: (502) 852-7323. Fax: (502) 852-8149.

 ⁽¹⁾ Presented at the 226th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, New York, Sep 7 and 8, 2003; ORGN 131.
 (2) (a) Seebach, D.; Colvin, E. W.; Leher, F.; Weller, T. Chimia 1979, 331; (b) Rosini, G. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Ed.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 2. (c) Rosini, G.; Ballini, R. Synthesis 1988, 833–847. (d) Luzzio, F. A. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 915–945. (e) Ono, N. *The Nitro Group in Organic Synthesis*; Wiley: New York, 2001.
(3) Ballini, R.; Petrini, M. *Tetrahedron* 2004, 60, 1017–1047.

^{(4) (}a) Ono, N.; Miyake, H.; Kamimiura, A.; Hamamoto, I.; Tamura, R.; Kaji, A. *Tetrahedron* **1985**, *41*, 4013–4023. (b) Tanner, D. D.; Blackburn, B. B.; Diaz, G. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 1557–1559. (c) DuPuis, J.; Giese, B.; Hartung, J.; Leising, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 4332–4333. (d) Vankar, Y. D.; Bawa, A.; Kumaravel, G. Tetrahedron **1991**, 47, 2027–2040. (e) Vankar, Y. D.; Chauduri, N. C. Synth. Commun. **1991**, 21, 885–899. (f) Crich, D.; Shirai, M.; Rumthao, S. Org. Lett. **2003**, 5. 3767-3769.

^{13181 - 13188}

⁽⁶⁾ Corey, E. J.; Cheng, X. M. *The Logic of Chemical Synthesis*; Wiley: New York, 1989; pp 373, 250–307.



FIGURE 1. Selected aldehydes for the Henry reactions (R = tert-butyldimethylsilyl).

TABLE 1. Henry Reaction of Silyloxyaldehydes $2a\!-\!c$ with Selected Nitro Compounds

RCHO	Nitro Compound	Product ^a (Yield%)		
2a	CH ₃ CH ₂ NO ₂			
2a	(CH ₃) ₂ CHNO ₂			
2a		$HO = \frac{1}{2} OR$		
2b	CH ₃ CH ₂ NO ₂	OH NO ₂ OR 3d (67)		
2c	(CH ₃) ₂ CHNO ₂	O O H O R Me Me NO ₂ 3e (41)		
a R = TBDMS. b Unstable to purification due to retro-Henry process and was used directly in the next step				

coupled with nitroethane, 2-nitropropane, or nitrocyclopentane in the presence of N,N,N',N'-tetramethylguanidine (TMG) or potassium tert-butoxide (KO-t-Bu) to produce nitro alcohols **3a-e** in isolated yields of 89-41% (Table 1). The coupling of the 4-silyloxyaldehydes with the primary nitro compounds was easily facilitated with TMG; however, the more sluggish Henry reactions required KO-t-Bu. Typically, both protocols yielded chromatographically inseparable mixtures of erythro and threo isomers. Although there are methods available for gaining high erythro or threo selectivity in the nitroaldol reaction,⁷ such modes of selectivity were not an issue in our multistep scheme whereby the nitro group would be lost in the cyclization step. Immediately following the isolation of the nitroalkanols 3a-e, they were acetylated with acetic anhydride in pyridine to furnish the corresponding silvloxynitroacetates 4a - e in yields of 75-96% (Table 2). Derivatization of the nitro alcohol function in 3a-e as the nitroacetate both protects the hydroxyl group during the oxidation step and deactivates these sensitive compounds to the usual retroaldol processes that plague the use of β nitroalkanols as synthetic intermediates. Next, the removal of the TBDMS group was accomplished with aqueous trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) to furnish the corresponding 4-acetoxy-5nitropentanols 5a-e in 71-88% yield (Table 2). We compared

TABLE 2. Acetylation/Desilylation/Oxidation of Nitroalkanols 3a-e to Aldehydes 6a-e through Nitroacetoxysilyl Ethers 4a-e and Nitroacetoxy Alcohols 5a-e





tetra-*N*-butylammonium fluoride (TBAF)/THF, which we selected for the initial attempts, with TFA for removal of the TBDMS group and noted that unwanted elimination of the acetate in **5a**, **5d**, and **5e** was facilitated by TBAF under all conditions. After removal of the TBDMS group, the alcohols **5a**–**e** were then oxidized to the corresponding aldehydes **6a**–**e** with pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC)/silical gel. To our satisfaction, the relatively polar acetoxynitroaldehydes were easily removed from the granular reduced chromium byproducts during the purification process, an operation which has been problematic when using PCC to oxidize other substrates bearing both nitro and nitroalkanol groups.

Although the 4-acetoxy-5-nitropentanals 6a-e easily survived the protocol of the PCC oxidation, they proved to be unstable on storage, and the best results were obtained when they were used immediately in the olefination step. The isolated yields of aldehyde products 6a-e ranged from 67 to 98% (Table 2). The 4-acetoxy-5-nitropentanals 6a-e were then directly treated with several types of Wittig reagents of varying structure and reactivity (Table 3). The Wittig reagent employed for the preparation

⁽⁷⁾ Barrett, A. G. M.; Robyr, C.; Spilling, C. D. J. Org. Chem. **1989**, 54, 1233–1234. (b) Eyer, M.; Seebach, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1985**, 107, 3601–3606.

JOC Note

	$6a-e + \sum_{R}^{R} PPh_3 \longrightarrow$	7a-h
Aldehyde	Acetoxynitroolefin	Yield (%)
6a	AcO \sim	70
6b	Me Me AcO NO ₂ CO ₂ Et 7b	81
6b	AcO Tc	75
6b	Me Me AcO COPh	88
6b	AcO 7e	67
6c	AcO NO ₂ CO ₂ Et	89
6d	OAc Me NO ₂ CO ₂ Et 7g	79
6e	OAc OAc CO ₂ Et Me NO ₂	81

TABLE 3. Wittig Olefination of Acetoxynitroaldehydes 6a-e

of **7a,b,f-h** was ethoxycarbonylmethylidenetriphenylphosphorane. Benzylidenetriphenylphosphorane was used for the preparation of 7c, while treatment of 6b with the more stable α -triphenylphosphoranylideneacetophenone gave olefin 7d. Finally, treatment of aldehyde 6b with cyclopentylidenetriphenylphosphorane afforded olefin 7e. The aldehydes 6a-e responded to the Wittig reagents quite well although the reaction conditions, particularly the mode of addition, temperature, and equivalents of reagent, were critical since the Wittig reagents possessed sufficient basicity to promote unwanted elimination in the acetoxynitroaldehydes 6a and 6d. In all cases except for 7c and 7e, the acetoxynitroolefins were obtained as the chromatographically pure E isomers and were used directly in the cyclization study. Olefin 7c was used as a mixture of E and Z isomers (2:1), while E/Z stereochemistry was not an issue with the cyclopentylidene olefin 7e (Table 3). The olefinic acetoxyni-

TABLE 4.	Tri-n-butyltin	Hydride-Mediated	5-Hexenyl
Cyclizations	of 7a-h to 1a-	- g	

Substrate	Product	Yield (%)
7a	OAc Me CH ₂ COOEt 1a	43
7b	OAc Me CH ₂ COOEt 1b	82
7c	OAc Me CH ₂ Ph 1c	69
7d	OAc Me CH ₂ COPh 1d	70
7e		72
7f	CH ₂ COOEt	79
7g		50

troacetates **7a**–**h** were then treated with tri-*n*-butyltin hydride (Bu₃SnH) and azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) in toluene at 108–110 °C.⁸ The Bu₃SnH-mediated reactions resulted in smooth 5-*exo-trig* radical closure and thus provided the title tri- and tetrasubstituted cyclopentanes in isolated yields ranging from 43 to 82% (Table 4). Although the progress of the radical closures was conveniently monitored by analytical TLC, the cyclized products were chromatographically inseparable mixtures of *cis* and *trans* diastereoisomers as determined by ¹H and ¹³C NMR analysis. While routine ¹H NMR was inconclusive in confirming the stereochemistry of each diastereoisomer in the mixture, a chemical expedient combined with NMR analysis led to the positive assignment of each diastereoisomer.⁹ The

⁽⁸⁾ An excess of HSnBu₃ (3 equiv) was required for total consumption of 7a-g, although products 1a-g were easily separable from the tin byproducts by chromatography. Organotin waste disposal was done in accordance with: *Prudent Practices in the Laboratory: Handling and Disposal of Chemicals*; National Academy Press: Washington D.C., 1995; pp 412–413.





 a Reagents and conditions: (a) LiOH/THF/MeOH/20 °C/18 h (quant); (b) TsOH/CH_2Cl_2/20 °C/35 h (quant); (c) Ac_2O/pyr/20 °C/23 h, 77%.

50/50 *cis/trans* mixture of acetoxy esters **1b** was hydrolyzed (0.5 M LiOH in THF/MeOH, 1:1; rt, 18 h) giving the *cis-* and *trans*-hydroxy esters **8a** and **8b** (Scheme 3). The mixture was then treated with *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate in CH₂-Cl₂ (rt/18 h), which led to both quantitative conversion of the *cis-*diastereoisomer **8a** to apocamphor lactone **9** and leaving the chromatographically separable *trans*-hydroxy ester **8b** unreacted. The unreacted hydroxy ester **8b** was separated and then acetylated with acetic anhydride/pyridine (rt/24h) to afford ester **10**. An ¹H NMR correlation with the acetoxy CH (dd, 4.82 ppm) was then made, thereby establishing the more downfield absorptions as those representing the *trans* diastereoisomers in products **1b**–**f** (Scheme 3). Acetoxy ester **1a** and indan **1g** were also obtained as chromatograpically inseparable mixtures of diastereoisomers.

However, the stereochemical correlation described above together with that made by Normant¹⁰ for *cis*- and *trans*-1-acetoxy-2-methylcyclopentanes led to the assignment of the *trans,cis* and the *cis,cis* as the most downfield pair, respectively, followed by the more upfield *cis,trans* and *trans,trans*, respectively (see the Supporting Information). Substrates **7b**-**f** gave somewhat higher yields (see **1b**-**f**, Table 4) than substrates **7a** and **7g**, presumably due to the greater ease in developing the radical derived from the tertiary nitro carbon as opposed to that formed from a secondary carbon. Substrate **7h** (Table 3) failed to cyclize but instead provided only **11**. Presumably, unfavorable



geometry together with the competing reductive denitrohydrogenation process conspired to give 11 as the sole product. With respect to the design of substrate 7g, which leads to the formation of indan 1g, we noted a related radical cyclization of 12 having a carbohydrate-based chiral controller group. The cyclization was tin hydride-mediated and gave an indanyl acetic ester similar to 1g.¹¹ In conclusion, 5-hexenyl radical cyclizations of substrates having both esterified nitroalkanol groups (Henry nitro esters) and suitably disposed double bonds offer an expedient to highly substituted cyclopentanes. The cyclization affords most notably esters of cyclopentanols and cyclopentylacetic esters having quaternary spiro or gem-dialkyl substitution as well as substituted indans. The diversity of the nitro compounds available for construction of the pivotal β -nitroacetoxyaldevdes together with the variation of Wittig reagents available for introducing the 5-hexenyl subunit allows for versatility in the structure of the cyclized products. Current studies include

both the employment of chiral or bulky controller groups to direct stereoselectivity and the use of organocatalytic tin hydride systems.

Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. See the Supporting Information.

Cyclization of Ethyl 6-Acetoxy-7-methyl-7-nitrooct-2-enoate (7b). cis- and trans-Ethyl (3-Acetoxy-2,2-dimethylcyclopentyl)acetate (1b). To a solution of the nitroolefin 7b (56.0 mg, 0.195 mmol) in dry toluene (1.5 mL) were added tributyltin hydride (165 µL, 0.595 mmol) and AIBN (6.4 mg, 0.039 mmol). The clear solution was stirred at 110 °C for 1.5 h and then allowed to cool to room temperature. The solvent was removed under high vacuum, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes/ ethyl acetate, 5:1) giving 1b (38.7 mg, colorless oil) as a mixture of diastereoisomers (1:1, cis/trans) in 82% yield: Rf 0.27 (hexanes/ ethyl acetate, 3:1); IR 2963, 2874, 1740, 1734; ¹H NMR 4.82 (dd, J = 1.8 Hz, 6.9 Hz, 0.5×1 H, trans), 4.72 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 0.5×1 1H, cis), 4.14 (m, 2H), 2.36–2.42 (m, 1H), 1.88–2.18 (m, 4H), 2.06 (s, 0.5×3 H), 2.04 (s, 0.5×3 H), 1.32–1.62 (m, 2H), 1.27 (m, 3H), 0.97 (s, 1.5H), 0.95 (s, 1.5H), 0.79 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR 173.5, 173.2, 170.8, 170.7, 83.7, 82.1, 60.65, 60.60, 44.26, 44.23, 42.9, 42.80, 42.75, 35.5, 35.0, 29.3, 28.1, 27.4, 26.73, 26.70, 25.4, 21.2, 21.1, 20.3, 15.5, 14.2; HRMS (CI, MNH₄⁺) calcd for C₁₃H₂₆-NO₄ 260.1861, found 260.1869.

Cyclization of Ethyl 6-Acetoxy-6-(1-nitrocyclopentyl)hex-2enoate (7f). cis- and trans-Ethyl (4-Acetoxyspiro[4.4]non-1-yl)acetate (1f). To a solution of the nitroolefin 7f (15.9 mg, 0.051 mmol) in dry toluene (1.0 mL) were added tributyltin hydride (40 μ L, 0.149 mmol) and AIBN (1.7 mg, 0.010 mmol). The clear solution was stirred at 110 °C for 1 h and then allowed to cool to room temperature. Following removal of the solvent under high vacuum, the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexanes/ ethyl acetate, 4:1) affording 1f (10.8 mg, colorless oil) as a mixture of diastereoisomers (40:60, cis/trans) in 79% yield: Rf 0.52 (hexane/ ethyl acetate, 3:1); IR 2963, 2874, 1741, 1733; ¹H NMR 4.86 (dd, J = 4.7 Hz, 6.7 Hz, 0.6 \times 1H, trans), 4.82 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 0.4 \times 1H, cis), 4.14 (m, 2H), 2.23-2.48 (m, 2H), 2.04 (s, 3H), 1.90-2.20 (m, 3H), 1.33-1.74 (m, 9H), 1.26 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR 173.7, 173.6, 170.9, 170.8, 82.1, 81.8, 60.4, 60.3, 55.9, 55.6, 42.8, 41.3, 37.3, 36.9, 35.1, 30.4, 29.6, 29.1, 28.2, 28.1, 27.7, 25.6, 25.5, 25.3, 24.9, 21.4, 21.3, 14.3; HRMS (CI, MNH₄⁺) calcd for C₁₅H₂₈NO₄ 286.2018, found 286.2031.

Acknowledgment. Financial support by the National Cancer Institute and the University of Louisville (Assistantships to J.P.O. and D.Y.D.) is gratefully acknowledged.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures for the preparation of all new compounds and ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of **1a,c–e,g**, **3a,b,d,e**, **4a–e**, **5a–e**, **6a–d**, **7a–h**, **8a/b**, **8b**, and **9–11**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO0605546

⁽⁹⁾ Wu, Y.; De Clercq, P.; Vandewalle, M.; Bouillon, R.; Verstuyf, A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2002**, *12*, 1633–1636.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Meyer, C.; Marek, I.; Courtemanche, G.; Normant, J.-F. *Tetrahedron* **1994**, *50*, 11665–11692.

⁽¹¹⁾ Enholm, E. J.; Cottone, J. S.; Allais, F. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 145–147.